Dear Mike, the other side explains why I can't make longer response to your letter. Belin changed his position after a debate with me at Vanderbilt Univ. the previous Wednesday. That chose to the time he came out for his kind of Congressional investigation he was refusing to support any, even after I gave him a load of his own record largely from my first book plus a generous helping of new evidence from the most recent, which he had begun to read. I have no doubt that he completed it rapidly and the combination showed him when self-interest lay...That trip weakened me some and slowed me down more. Daily I have copies of books to package and mail out...You interpret the Zapruder film shown (probably you meant David Wrone, a fine man and prof. of history at Univ. Wisc. Stevens Point) on TV correctly but I caution you against reading too much into it. Like the man on the knoll. There is a man at that general point and confirmed but he is not what you saw in the film, or where. His presence goes back to my 1965 work and was confirmed by Itek. But in the Z film what you and Grodin and others call a man disappears impossibly fast. Nobody can move that fast. ...You have to take all the evidence available to reach a mature and reasonable conclusion, not an interpretation of one that is subject to other interpretations. The one you believe, alas, was a setup for Belin and his new whitewash. Thanks and best regards. Harold Weisberg 11/23/75